UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,744	09/15/2003	Gin Wu	021218.0009US2	1532
24392 FISH & ASSOC	7590 03/04/201 CIATES, PC	EXAMINER		
ROBERT D. FI	SH	GILBERT, ANDREW M		
2603 Main Street Suite 1000 Irvine, CA 92614-6232			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rfish@fishiplaw.com patents@fishiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/662,744	WU, GIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANDREW M. GILBERT	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Fe</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>25-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>25-34</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers	vn from consideration.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2010 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	e: a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Treferences Gled (116 632) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ute			

Art Unit: 3767

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/2/2010 has been entered.

## Acknowledgments

- 2. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 2/2/2010.
- 3. In the reply, the applicant amended claim 25, 33-34.
- 4. Thus, claims 25-34 are pending for examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 30 recites "a drill bit according to claim 25"; however, a drill bit is not recited in claim 25. Further, claim 25 is a method, not an apparatus claim. The Examiner is unsure of the scope of "a drill bit according to claim 25."
- 7. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Art Unit: 3767

regards as the invention. Claim 34 recites "The drill bit" of claim 25." Again, claim 25 is a method, not an apparatus claim and fails to disclose a drill bit.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 25,26,28,30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw (US 5,261,818).

Shaw teaches a shaft (13) with a cutting surface (14), a lumen (18), with first (34) and second openings (22) and an open notch (26). Shaw also teaches a method of drilling through bone and injecting a substance through needle (Summary of the invention). Shaw further teaches a beveled portion with second openings (22) that extend through the beveled portion (Figs 1-6; openings 22 extend through channels 26 that form a beveled tip (the channels form flutes that narrow as they approach 14) that ends at 14 as shown in Fig 4 and Fig 1 where the openings are clearly present along the bevel and the opening clearly extends through). Additionally, the Examiner notes that "a proximal end with a first opening may also be met by opening 20 at the proximal end of the lumen as an alternative to opening 34.

Art Unit: 3767

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw.

Shaw teaches the drill as above, but does not teach the length of the drill or the use of a hypodermic needle to inject the substance. It is well known in the art to provide medications in a hypodermic needle/syringe system and to inject the substance in the body. The lumen of the drill bit would be capable of use with a hypodermic needle and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected the device to be used with a hypodermic needle.

Regarding claim 16, Shaw discloses the entire length of the drill is 20-25 mm (0.78-.98 inches). The notches do not extend the entire length of the drill, and appear to extend roughly 1/4- 1/3 the length of the shaft (about 0.19 inches). The examiner believes this is "about 0.1-0.125 inches." Additionally, the drill bit is intended for use in the mouth of a human and therefore must meet some dimensional limits in order to be functional.

1. Claims 29, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw as applied to claims above, and further in view of Pshenichny (US 3,750,667).

Art Unit: 3767

Shaw does not teach a substantially smooth drill or a drill connected to a shaft as claimed. Pschenichny teaches a medical drill bit for delivering medicament to a space within the bone including a substantially smooth shaft (1), a hub (3), the shaft extending outwardly beyond both proximal and distal ends of the hub (Fig 2) and a lumen extending through the hub (Fig 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the hub and long smooth shaft to Pshenichny better control and handle the bit (hub) and perform injections deeper within the body (longer smooth area).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/2/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is the examiner's position that to Shaw's openings 22 which are directly located within a beveled tip portion (see above discussion) and extend through to connect to lumen 18. The rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW M. GILBERT whose telephone number is (571)272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew M Gilbert/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/KEVIN C. SIRMONS/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767